How the handler might actually derive its premiums by utilization:		tic Market	HYPOTHETICAL			
		<u>miums</u>	<u>Utilization</u>	Net <u>Premium</u>		
PA Class I Over-Order Premium	\$	2.60	22.13%	\$ (	).5753	
Non-PA Class I	\$	1.50	22.9%	\$	0.34	
Class II	\$	1.00	18.0%	\$	0.18	
Class III	\$	0.30	15.0%	\$	0.05	\$ 0.57 Current Over-Price Premium (Completely unrelated to PA Class I sales)
Class IV	\$	-	<u>    22.0%</u> 100.0%	\$	-	
			Total Blended Premium:	\$	1.14	]

CURRENT allocation of over-price premium:	<u>Pre</u>	emium	Over Price <u>Premium</u>				Same HYPOTHETICAL <u>Utilization</u>	Net <u>Premium</u>		
PA Class I Premium	\$	2.60	\$	0.57	\$	3.17	22.13%	\$ C	.7010	PA Class I carries unnecessary burden
Non-PA Class I	\$	-	\$	0.57	\$	0.57	22.9%	\$	0.13	
Class II	\$	-	\$	0.57	\$	0.57	18.0%	\$	0.10	
Class III	\$	-	\$	0.57	\$	0.57	15.0%	\$	0.09	
Class IV	\$	-	\$	0.57	\$	0.57	22.0%	\$	0.12	
							100.0%			
						То	tal Blended Premium:	\$	1.14	]

Over order premium not specifically identified to a class is applied proportionately to Class I Pennsylvania utilization as a fraction of utilization

Alternative allocation of over-price premium:	Estimated Market <u>Premium</u>		Over Price <u>Premium</u>		Total <u>Premium</u>		Same HYPOTHETICAL <u>Utilization</u>	Net <u>Premium</u>		
PA Class I Premium	\$	2.60	\$	-	\$	2.60	22.13%	\$ (	).5753	(No additional burden on PA Class I sales)
Non-PA Class I	\$	-	\$	0.73	\$	0.73	22.9%	\$	0.17	Other Classes carry due burden
Class II	\$	-	\$	0.73	\$	0.73	18.0%	\$	0.13	
Class III	\$	-	\$	0.73	\$	0.73	15.0%	\$	0.11	
Class IV	\$	-	\$	0.73	\$	0.73	22.0% 100.0%	\$	0.16	
						То	tal Blended Premium	:\$	1.14	

Over order premium spread evenly over other Classes or allocated down to lower Classes until all are equal to or greater than PA Class I Over-Order Premium

March 28, 2014